

Toyama International Workshop on Higgs as a Probe of New Physics 2015

February 13-16, 2013, University of Toyama, Japan

Higgs at Seesaw Type II



Eung Jin Chun

Korea Institute for Advanced Study

EJC, Lee, Sharma, 1209.1303 EJC, Sharma, 1301.1407

Introduction

- An SU(2) doublet boson (Y=1/2) responsible for the masses of quarks and charged leptons as well as for the electroweak symmetry breaking. July 4, 2012!
- What about neutrino masses? Maybe due to an "SU(2) triplet boson (Y=I)", $\Delta = (\Delta^{++}, \Delta^{+}, \Delta^{0})$:Type II Seesaw
- Clean signals $\Delta^{++} \rightarrow I^+ I^+ \&$ more [see Sharma's poster]
- Implication to the Higgs-to-diphoton rate.
- Non-SUSY version constrained by EWPD, perturbativity and vacuum stability.
 EJC, Lee, Sharma, 1209.1303
- SUSY version with one light triplet and Higgs controlled by the D-term potential.
 EJC, Sharma, 1301.1407

Type II Seesaw (Non-SUSY)

Introduce Higgs doublet (Y=1/2) & triplet (Y=1):

$$\Phi = (\Phi^+, \Phi^0) \qquad \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+/\sqrt{2} & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\Delta^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Triplet VEV generates neutrino mass matrix:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Y} = f_{\alpha\beta} L_{\alpha}^{T} C i \tau_{2} \Delta L_{\beta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu \Phi^{T} i \tau_{2} \Delta \Phi + h.c.$$
$$v_{\Delta} = \mu \frac{v_{\Phi}^{2}}{M_{\Delta}^{2}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{m}_{\alpha\beta}^{\nu} = \mathbf{f}_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{v}_{\Delta} \iff f_{\alpha\beta} \frac{v_{\Delta}}{v_{\Phi}} \sim 10^{-12}$$

- ρ parameter constraint on $\xi = \mathbf{v}_{\Delta}/\mathbf{v}_{\Phi}$: $\rho = (|+2\xi^2)/(|+4\xi^2) \rightarrow \xi < 0.03$
- We will work in the limit of $\xi << 0.01$, neglecting the tree-level $\Delta \rho$ contribution.

Higgs sector

• Higgs potential of type II seesaw: $V(\Phi, \Delta) = m^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + M^2 \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) \\ + \lambda_1 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2 + \lambda_2 [\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)]^2 + 2\lambda_3 \operatorname{Det}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) \\ + \lambda_4 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_5 (\Phi^{\dagger} \tau_i \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \tau_i \Delta) \\ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu \Phi^T i \tau_2 \Delta \Phi + h.c.$

Five boson mass eigenstates:

$$\begin{array}{c} \Delta^{++}, \Delta^{+}, \Delta^{0} \\ \Phi^{+}, \Phi^{0} \end{array} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad h^{0}, H^{0}, A^{0}, H^{+}, H^{++} \end{array}$$

• Doublet-triplet mixing controlled by $\xi = v_{\Delta}/v_{\Phi}$:

$$\begin{split} \phi_I^0 &= G^0 - 2\xi A^0 & \phi^+ = G^+ + \sqrt{2}\xi H^+ & \phi_R^0 = h^0 - a\xi H^0 \\ \Delta_I^0 &= A^0 + 2\xi G^0 & \Delta^+ = H^+ - \sqrt{2}\xi G^+ & \Delta_R^0 = H^0 + a\xi h^0 \end{split}$$

Triplet boson spectrum

Mass gap among triplet components:

EJC, Lee, Park, 0304069

$$M_{H^{\pm\pm}}^{2} = M^{2} + 2\frac{\lambda_{4} - \lambda_{5}}{g^{2}}M_{W}^{2}$$

$$M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} = M_{H^{\pm\pm}}^{2} + 2\frac{\lambda_{5}}{g^{2}}M_{W}^{2}$$

$$\Delta M^{2} = 2\frac{\lambda_{5}}{g^{2}}M_{W}^{2}$$

$$M_{H^{0},A^{0}}^{2} = M_{H^{\pm}}^{2} + 2\frac{\lambda_{5}}{g^{2}}M_{W}^{2}.$$

Mass gap between H⁰ & A⁰:

[see Sharma's poster]

$$\mathcal{L}_{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu \Phi^{T} i \tau_{2} \Delta^{\dagger} \Phi + h.c. \Rightarrow -\mu v_{\Phi} h^{0} H^{0}$$
$$v_{\Delta} = \frac{\mu v_{\Phi}^{2}}{\sqrt{2} M_{H^{0}}^{2}} \qquad \delta M_{HA} \approx 2 M_{H^{0}} \frac{v_{\Delta}^{2}}{v_{\Phi}^{2}} \frac{M_{H^{0}}^{2}}{M_{H^{0}}^{2} - m_{h^{0}}^{2}}$$

Triplet decay channels

Two mass hierarchies:

 $M_{H^{++}} < M_{H^+} < M_{H^0/A^0}$ if $\lambda_5 > 0$

 $M_{H^{++}} > M_{H^+} > M_{H^0/A^0}$ if $\lambda_5 < 0$

• Gauge decays for non-vanishing ΔM (λ_5):

$$H^0/A^0 \to H^{\pm}W^* \to H^{\pm\pm}W^*W^*$$
$$H^{++} \to H^{\pm}W^* \to H^0/A^0 W^*W^*$$

• Di-quark/di-boson decays through ξ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{++} \to W^{+}W^{+}; \ H^{+} \to t\bar{b}; & H^{0}/A^{0} \to t\bar{t}, \ b\bar{b} & \swarrow & \chi_{\Delta} \\ \to & ZW, hW & \to ZZ, hh/Zh \end{array} \xi \equiv \frac{v_{\Delta}}{v_{\Phi}} \end{array}$$

 $\langle \Box \Delta M(\lambda_5) \rangle$

Collider search

- Look for $H^{++} \rightarrow I^+ I^+$
- Neutrino mass pattern can be determined by measuring BR $(H^{++} \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha\beta}} l_{\alpha}^+ l_{\beta}^+)$! EJC, Lee, Park, 0304069
- Updated neutrino mass matrix after θ_{13} (no CP phase):

Br (%)	ee	$e\mu$	e au	$\mu\mu$	μau	au au
NH	0.62	5.11	0.51	26.8	35.6	31.4
IH1	47.1	1.27	1.35	11.7	23.7	14.9

EJC, Sharma, 1206.6278

Benchmark point	ee	еµ	eτ	μμ	μτ	ττ	
BP1	0	0.01	0.01	0.30	0.38	0.30	
BP2	1/2	0	0	1/8	1/4	1/8	
BP3	1/3	0	0	1/3	0	1/3	
BP4	1/6	1/6	1/6	1/6	1/6	1/6	

CMS, 1207.2666

CMS limit

• CMS looks for $pp \rightarrow H^{++} H^- \rightarrow I^+ I^+ I^- \nu$ & $pp \rightarrow H^{++} H^{--} \rightarrow I^+ I^+ I^- I^-$.

CMS, 1207.2666

• Assuming 100% leptonic decay & $\Delta M=0$.



ATLAS limit





• No mass limit for $Br(H^{++} \rightarrow I^+ I^+)$ small enough.

EWPD

Triplet contribution to S,T & U:

Lavoura, Li, 9309262

Most recent STU fit:

$$\begin{split} S_{\rm best \ fit} &= 0.03 \ , \quad \sigma_S = 0.10 & \text{Baak, et.al., 1209.2716} \\ T_{\rm best \ fit} &= 0.05 \ , \quad \sigma_T = 0.12 & \\ U_{\rm best \ fit} &= 0.03 \ , \quad \sigma_U = 0.10 & \\ \rho_{ST} &= 0.89, \quad \rho_{SU} = -0.54, \quad \rho_{TU} = -0.83 & \end{split}$$

It strongly constrains the mass splitting.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta S \\ \Delta T \\ \Delta U \end{pmatrix}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{S}\sigma_{S} & \sigma_{S}\sigma_{T}\rho_{ST} & \sigma_{S}\sigma_{U}\rho_{SU} \\ \sigma_{S}\sigma_{T}\rho_{ST} & \sigma_{T}\sigma_{T} & \sigma_{T}\sigma_{U}\rho_{TU} \\ \sigma_{U}\sigma_{S}\rho_{US} & \sigma_{U}\sigma_{T}\rho_{TU} & \sigma_{U}\sigma_{U} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta S \\ \Delta T \\ \Delta U \end{pmatrix}$$

$$< -2\ln(1 - CL)$$

Constraint on $\Delta M (\lambda_5)$



- EWPD limit $|\Delta M| < \sim 40$ GeV for $\xi << 10^{-2}$.
- Strong constraints on λ_5 for small triplet mass:

 $\lambda_5 = (-0.1, 0.4), \quad (-0.2, 0.6), \quad (-0.35, 0.7) \quad M_{H^{++}} = 100, 150, \text{ and } 200 \text{ GeV},$

Vacuum stability & perturbativity

Higgs sector of type II seesaw:

$$V(\Phi, \Delta) = m^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi + M^2 \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_1 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi)^2 + \lambda_2 [\operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)]^2 + 2\lambda_3 \operatorname{Det}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_4 (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + \lambda_5 (\Phi^{\dagger} \tau_i \Phi) \operatorname{Tr}(\Delta^{\dagger} \tau_i \Delta) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mu \Phi^T i \tau_2 \Delta \Phi + h.c.$$

- Vacuum stability of the SM Higgs changes due to its couplings to the Higgs triplet.
- Triplet self coupling (λ_2) tends to diverge rapidly.
- Strong constraints on $\lambda_{2,3,4,5}$.
- Take $\lambda_1 = 0.13$ and $\mu << v_{\phi}$.

Vacuum stability & perturbativity

Demand the absolute vacuum stability condition.

- $\lambda_1 > 0$, • $\lambda_2 > 0$, • $\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 > 0$ • $\lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5 + 2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2} > 0$, • $\lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5 + 2\sqrt{\lambda_1(\lambda_2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3)} > 0$.
- Perturbativity: $|\lambda_i| \leq \sqrt{4\pi}$.

Arhrib, et.al., 1105.1925

Vacuum stability & perturbativity

Use I-loop RGE:

Chao, Zhang, 0611323 Schmidt, 07053841

$$\begin{split} 16\pi^2 \frac{d\lambda_1}{dt} &= 24\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_1(-9g_2^2 - 3g'^2 + 12y_t^2) + \frac{3}{4}g_2^4 + \frac{3}{8}(g'^2 + g_2^2)^2 \\ &- \frac{6y_t^4 + 3\lambda_4^2 + 2\lambda_5^2}{4t} \\ 16\pi^2 \frac{d\lambda_2}{dt} &= \lambda_2(-12g'^2 - 24g_2^2) + 6g'^4 + 9g_2^4 + 12g'^2g_2^2 + 28\lambda_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{8\lambda_2\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_3^2 + 2\lambda_4^2 + 2\lambda_5^2}{4t} \\ 16\pi^2 \frac{d\lambda_3}{dt} &= \lambda_3(-12g'^2 - 24g_2^2) + 6g_2^4 - 24g'^2g_2^2 + 6\lambda_3^2 \\ &+ 24\lambda_2\lambda_3 - 4\lambda_5^2 \\ 16\pi^2 \frac{d\lambda_4}{dt} &= \lambda_4(-\frac{15}{2}g'^2 - \frac{33}{2}g_2^2) + \frac{9}{5}g'^4 + 6g_2^4 + \lambda_4(12\lambda_1 \\ &+ \frac{16\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3 + 4\lambda_4 + 6y_t^2) + 8\lambda_5^2}{4t} \\ 16\pi^2 \frac{d\lambda_5}{dt} &= \lambda_4(-\frac{15}{2}g'^2 - \frac{33}{2}g_2^2) + 6g'^2g_2^2 + \lambda_5(4\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2 \\ &- 4\lambda_3 + 8\lambda_4 + 6y_t^2), \end{split}$$





Allowed ranges:		$10^5 { m ~GeV}$	$10^{10} { m GeV}$	$10^{19} { m ~GeV}$
6	λ_2	(0,1)	(0, 0.5)	(0, 0.25)
	λ_3	(-2.0, 2.4)	(-1.0, 1.25)	(-0.55, 0.62)
	λ_4	(-0.5, 1.7)	(-0.1, 0.9)	(0, 0.5)
	λ_5	(-1.5, 1.5)	(-0.7, 0.7)	(-0.4, 0.4)

Higgs-to-diphoton

- I-loop process sensitive to New Physics.
- A large deviation in the current data.
- Its precision data is important to constrain NP.



Higgs-to-diphoton

▶ H⁺⁺ & H⁺ contribution:



•
$$g_{H^+H^+}^h = \frac{\lambda_4}{2} \frac{v_0^2}{M_{H^+}^2}$$
,
• $g_{H^{++}H^{++}}^h = \frac{\lambda_4 - \lambda_5}{2} \frac{v_0^2}{M_{H^+}^2}$,

Arhrib, et.al., 1112.5453 Kanemura, Yagyu, 1201.6287 Akeryod, Moretti, 1206.0535 Chiang, Yagyu, 1207.1065

Combined results for 10¹⁹ GeV



Combined results for 10¹⁰ GeV



Higgs at Seesaw Type II EJChun@KIAS 2013-02-13 Toyama

| |9

Combined results for 10⁵ GeV

20



Supersymmetric Type II Seesaw

Needs a vector-like pair of triplets to write the gaugeinvariant superpotential:

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\frac{\Delta^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{\Delta}^-}{\sqrt{2}} & \bar{\Delta}^0 \\ \bar{\Delta}^{--} & -\frac{\bar{\Delta}^-}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$W = \frac{1}{2} f_{ij} L_i^T i \tau_2 \boldsymbol{\Delta} L_j + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 H_1^T i \tau_2 \boldsymbol{\Delta} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_2 + \mu H_1^T i \tau_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2^T i \tau_2 \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 H_2 + M \text{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\Delta} \bar{\boldsymbol{\Delta}} H_1 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_$$

D-term potential: mass splitting & Higgs-to-triplet coupling:

$$V_{D} = \frac{g^{2}}{8} \left[|H_{1}^{0}|^{2} - |H_{2}^{0}|^{2} + 2|\Delta^{++}|^{2} - 2|\Delta^{0}|^{2} - 2|\bar{\Delta}^{--}|^{2} + 2|\bar{\Delta}^{0}|^{2} \right]^{2} + \frac{g^{\prime 2}}{8} \left[|H_{1}^{0}|^{2} - |H_{2}^{0}|^{2} - 2|\Delta^{++}|^{2} - 2|\Delta^{+}|^{2} - 2|\Delta^{0}|^{2} + 2|\bar{\Delta}^{--}|^{2} + 2|\bar{\Delta}^{-}|^{2} + 2|\bar{\Delta}^{0}|^{2} \right]^{2}$$

Triplet boson spectrum

• Triplet mass matrix: $\begin{pmatrix} M_{\Delta^a}^2 & B_M \\ B_{\Delta^a} & M^2 \end{pmatrix}$

$$M_{\Delta^{a}}^{2} \equiv M^{2} + m_{\Delta}^{2} + d_{a}M_{Z}^{2}c_{2\beta} + \frac{c_{a}}{4}\lambda_{1}^{2}v_{0}^{2}c_{\beta}^{2},$$

$$M_{\bar{\Delta}^{\bar{a}}}^{2} \equiv M^{2} + m_{\bar{\Delta}}^{2} - d_{a}M_{Z}^{2}c_{2\beta} + \frac{c_{a}}{4}\lambda_{2}^{2}v_{0}^{2}s_{\beta}^{2}$$

 $(d_{++}, d_{+}, d_{0}) = (1 - 2s_{W}^{2}, -s_{W}^{2}, -1) \quad (c_{++}, c_{+}, c_{0}) = (0, 1, 2)$

Mass eigenvalues:

$$M_{\Delta_{1,2}^a}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[2M^2 + m_{\Delta}^2 + m_{\bar{\Delta}}^2 \mp \sqrt{(m_{\Delta}^2 - m_{\bar{\Delta}}^2 + 2d_a M_Z^2 c_{2\beta})^2 + 4B_M^2} \right]$$

Mass splitting among triplet components:

$$M_{\Delta_{1,2}^{0}}^{2} - M_{\Delta_{1,2}^{+}}^{2} = M_{\Delta_{1,2}^{+}}^{2} - M_{\Delta_{1,2}^{++}}^{2} = \mp (1 - s_{W}^{2})c_{2\delta}c_{2\beta}M_{Z}^{2}$$
$$c_{2\delta} \equiv -\frac{m_{\Delta}^{2} - m_{\bar{\Delta}}^{2}}{\sqrt{(m_{\Delta}^{2} - m_{\bar{\Delta}}^{2})^{2} + 4B_{M}^{2}}}.$$

A light triplet boson & its couplings

• Mass hierarchy: $M_{\Delta_1} \ll M_{\Delta_2}$

$$M_{\Delta_1^{++}} < M_{\Delta_1^{+}} < M_{\Delta_1^{0}}$$
 if $c_{2\delta} > 0$

Di-lepton/W coupling:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[c_{\delta} f_{ij} \bar{l}_{i}^{c} P_{L} l_{j} + g \xi_{1} M_{W} W^{-} W^{-} \right] \Delta_{1}^{++} + h.c.$$

Higgs coupling:

$$V_D = c_{2\beta}c_{2\delta}v_0 h \left[\frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2} (|\Delta_1^{++}|^2 - |\Delta_2^{++}|^2) - \frac{g'^2}{2} (|\Delta_1^{+}|^2 - |\Delta_2^{+}|^2) \right]$$
$$g_{\Delta^+\Delta^+}^h = -c_{2\delta}c_{2\beta}t_W^2 \frac{M_W^2}{m_h^2} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\Delta^{++}\Delta^{++}}^h = c_{2\delta}c_{2\beta}(1 - t_W^2) \frac{M_W^2}{m_h^2}$$

Higgs decay to di-photon & di- Δ



Higgs at Seesaw Type II EJChun@KIAS 2013-02-13 Toyama

Light triplet decays to di-lepton/W



Higgs at Seesaw Type II EJChun@KIAS 2013-02-13 Toyama

LHC7 limits for NH & IH



Future sensitivity

• Lines for $\sigma \times BF(II) = I fb$:



Conclusion

• EWPD constrains tightly the triplet mass splitting: $|\Delta M| < 40$ GeV.

- Vacuum stability and perturbativity put strong bounds on the Higgs couplings, roughly $\lambda_i < 1$.
- Higgs-to-diphoton rate can be enhanced up to 100% ~ 50% for the triplet mass 100 GeV depending on the cutoff scale.
- In SUSY, an enhanced diphoton rate implies the presence of a light triplet boson (<80 GeV).
- The Higgs precision data will severely constrain the Higgs-triplet parameter space.