Higgs Workshop Toyama 13.02.2013

Inert Doublet Model with 125 GeV Higgs

Maria Krawczyk University of Warsaw

in collaboration with I. Ginzburg, K. Kanishev (Novosibirsk U.), D.Sokołowska, G. Gil, B. Gorczyca (Świeżewska) J. Bogdanowicz (U. of Warsaw)

THE THEORY OF MATTER and STANDARD MODEL(S)

F. Wilczek, LEPFest, Nov.2000 (hep-ph/0101187)

Theory of Matter = SU(2)_{I weak} \times U(1)_{Y weak} \times SU(3)_{color}

Theory of Matter refers to the core concepts:

- quantum field theory
- gauge symmetry
- spontaneous symmetry breaking
- asymptotic freedom
- the assignments of the lightest quarks and leptons

Standard Models: Choose the number of Higgs (scalar) doublets SM=1HDM, <u>2HDM</u> (MSSM,IDM), 3HDM ... Note, that the lightest scalar is often SM-like

NonStandard Models are based on more radical assumptions.

Plan

- Higgs at LHC 2012
- SM-like Higgs scenarios
- Two Higgs Doublet Models 2HDM
- Z2 symmetry in 2HDM
 → Normal (Mixed) Model (as MSSM)
 → Dark 2HDM = 2HDM with Dark Matter called Inert Doublet Model (IDM)
 Enhancement in γγ Higgs final states
- Evolution of the Universe (T² and beyond) in IDM

LHC Higgs-like particle with mass~125-126 GeV observed at ATLAS+CMS (+Tevatron)

BROKEN SYMMETRY AND THE MASS OF GAUGE VECTOR MESONS*

F. Englert and R. Brout Faculté des Sciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium (Received 26 June 1964)

BROKEN SYMMETRIES, MASSLESS PARTICLES AND GAUGE FIELDS

P. W. HIGGS

Tail Institute of Mathematical Physics, University of Edinburgh, Scotland

Received 27 July 1964

VOLUME 13, NUMBER 16

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

19 October 1964

BROKEN SYMMETRIES AND THE MASSES OF GAUGE BOSONS

Peter W. Higgs Tait Institute of Mathematical Physics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Scotland

GLOBAL CONSERVATION LAWS AND MASSLESS PARTICLES*

G. S. Guralnik,[†] C. R. Hagen,[‡] and T. W. B. Kibble Department of Physics, Imperial College, London, England (Received 12 October 1964)

Important loop couplings ggH, yyH



2010 Sakurai Prize

... for "elucidation of the properties of spontaneous symmetry breaking in four-dimensional relativistic gauge theory and of the mechanism for the consistent generation of vector boson masses."



J. Ellis, 20.11.2012

Summary of the Story so far



Tevatron



Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism Spontaneous breaking of EW symmetry $SU(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow U(1)_{QED}$ **Standard Model**

Doublet of SU(2): $\Phi = (\varphi^+, v + H + i\zeta)^T$ Masses for W^{+/-}, Z (tree $\rho = 1$), no mass for the photon Fermion masses via Yukawa interaction

Higgs particle H_{SM} - spin 0, neutral, CP even couplings to WW/ZZ, Yukawa couplings to fermions unknown mass \leftrightarrow selfinteraction **Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism** Spontaneous breaking of EW symmetry SU(2) x U(1) \rightarrow ? T.D. Lee 1973 Two Higgs Doublet Models Two doublets of SU(2) (Y=1, ρ =1) - Φ_1 , Φ_2 Masses for $W^{+/-}$, Z, no mass for photon? Fermion masses via Yukawa interaction various models: Model I, II, III, IV,X,Y,... 5 scalars: H+ and H- and neutrals: - CP conservation: CP-even h, H & CP-odd A - CP violation: h1,h2,h3 with undefinite CP parity*

Sum rules hold (for relative couplings to SM χ)

SM-like scenarios

 In many models SM-like scenarios are possible
 Our definition of SM-like scenario (2012): Higgs h with mass ~ 125 GeV, SM tree-level couplings* within exp. accuracy (* up to sign)
 No other new particles seen ... (too heavy or too weakly interacting)

Note: Loops ggh, $\gamma\gamma$ h, γ Zh may differ from the SM case

In models with two SU(2) doublets:

- MSSM with decoupling of heavy Higgses

- 2HDM (Mixed), where *both h or H can be SM-like*- Inert Doublet Model, only *one SM-like Higgs h*

2HDM'S SYMETRIES!! Branco, Rebelo, Ferreira Silva, Lavoura, Sher '12 Haber, Gunion Ginzburg, MK, Ivanov Nachtmann, Maniatis, Pilaftsis,...







2HDM Lagrangian $L=L_{SM}+L_{H}+L_{Y}$ Potential with L_H=T-V $V = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1(\Phi_1^{\dagger}\Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2(\Phi_2^{\dagger}\Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3(\Phi_1^{\dagger}\Phi_1)(\Phi_2^{\dagger}\Phi_2)$ + $\lambda_4(\Phi_1^{\dagger}\Phi_2)(\Phi_2^{\dagger}\Phi_1) + \frac{1}{2} [\lambda_5(\Phi_1^{\dagger}\Phi_2)^2 + h.c]$ + $[(\lambda_6(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \lambda_7(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2))(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + h.c]$

 $-\frac{1}{2}m^{2}_{11}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{1})-\frac{1}{2}m^{2}_{22}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})-\frac{1}{2}[m^{2}_{12}(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger}\Phi_{2})+h.c.]$

Z₂ symmetry transformation: $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_1 \quad \Phi_2 \rightarrow - \quad \Phi_2$ (or vice versa)

Hard Z₂ symmetry violation: λ_6 , λ_7 terms Soft Z₂ symmetry violation: m_{12}^2 term (Re $m_{12}^2 = \mu^2$) Explicit Z₂ symmetry in V: λ_6 , λ_7 , $m_{12}^2 = 0$ (NO CP violation)

Various models of Yukawa inter. typically with some Z2 type symmetry to avoid FCNC

<u>Model I</u> - only one doublet interacts with fermions <u>Model II</u> – one doublet with down-type fermions d, l other with up-type fermions u

Model III - both doublets interact with fermions Model IV (X) - leptons interacts with one doublet, guarks with the other Model Y - one doublet with down-type quarks d other with up-type quarks u and leptons Top 2HDM – top only with one doublet Fermiophobic 2HDM – no coupling to the lightest Higgs + Extra dim 2HDM models + others

Extrema of the 2HDM potential with explicit Z₂ symmetry

Ginzburg, Kanishev, MK, Sokołowska'09

Finding extrema: $\partial V / \partial \Phi|_{\Phi = \langle \Phi \rangle} = 0$ Finding minima \rightarrow global minimum = vacuum

Positivity (stability) constraints (V with real parameters)

$$\lambda_1 > 0$$
, $\lambda_2 > 0$, $R+1 > 0$, $R_3+1 > 0$

$$\lambda_{345} = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5, \quad R = \lambda_{345} / \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \quad R_3 = \lambda_3 / \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}.$$

Extremum fulfilling the positivity constraints with the lowest energy = vacuum

Possible extrema (vacuua) for V with Z₂ symmetry $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rightarrow -\Phi_2$ (D symmetry) The most general extremum state $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_S \Phi_2 \rightarrow \Phi_D$ $\langle \phi_S \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_S \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \phi_D \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v_D \end{pmatrix} \begin{cases} \mathsf{v}_S, \, \mathsf{v}_D, \, \mathsf{u} - \mathsf{real} \\ \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{S'}}, \, \mathsf{u}_D \end{cases}$ $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{v}_S, \, \mathsf{v}_D, \, \mathsf{u}_S \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v_D \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$ $u = v_{D} = v_{S} = 0$ EWs **EWs** $u = v_D = 0$ 1 Inert $u = v_{s}^{D} = 0$ u = 0Inert-like Mixed (Normal, MSSM like) M

Charge Breaking

Ch

u≠0 v_D =0



Phase diagrams for D-sym. V

coexistence of minima





Inert (I1) vacuum for Mh=125 GeV \rightarrow

$$\mu_1 = \frac{m_{11}^2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}},$$

Phase for TODAY (incl. LHC data..)

2HDM with <u>explicit</u> D symmetry (ie. in Lagrangian L) $\Phi_{s} \rightarrow \Phi_{s} \Phi_{D} \rightarrow \Phi_{D}$

 Charge breaking phase Ch? photon is massive, el.charge is not conserved... → No

- Neutral phases:
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Mixed M}} & \text{in agreement with data} \\ & \text{here Model II } (\Phi_{\text{S}}, \Phi_{\text{D}} \text{ interact with fermions}) \\ & \text{D spont. broken} \end{array}$
 - Inert I1OK! In agreement with accelerator
and astrophysical data (neutral DM)
(Model I only Φ_s interacts with fermions)
D symmetry exact

Inert 2 ? NO (fermions massless)

Inert Doublet Model Ma,... '78 Barbieri...'06

Symmetry under Z_2 transf. $\Phi_S \rightarrow \Phi_S \quad \Phi_D \rightarrow \Phi_D$ both in L (V and Yukawa interaction = Model I only Φ_S) and in the vacuum:

 $\langle \Phi_{S} \rangle = v$ $\langle \Phi_{D} \rangle = 0$ Inert vacuum I₁ Φ_{S} as in SM (BEH), with Higgs boson h (SM-like) Φ_{D} has <u>no vev</u>, with 4 scalars (no Higgs bosons!) no interaction with fermions (inert doublet)

Here Z_2 symmetry exact $\rightarrow Z_2$ parity, only Φ_D has odd Z_2 -parity \rightarrow The lightest scalar stable -a dark matter candidate (Φ_D dark doublet with dark scalars).

 $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_S$ Higgs doublet S $\Phi_2 \rightarrow \Phi_D$ Dark doublet D The Inert Doublet Model: An Archetype for Dark Matter, Lopez Honorez,...Tytgat ...07

Confronting with data

Constraints:

vacuum stability,

perturbative unitarity

condition for a specific vacuum

Data: EWPT (S and T) LEP, LHC and DM data

 $S = 0.03 \pm 0.09$ $T = 0.07 \pm 0.08$ $\rho = 87\%$ Ma,..2006,.. *B. Gorczyca(Świeżewska), Thesis2011, 1112.4356, 1112.5086, Posch..2011, Dolle, Su... Arhrib..2012, Chang...2012



Inert Doublet Model: it's a SM-like scenario for h; H=DM

In contrast Mixed Model (II) with 5 Higgses sum rules for relative couplings eg. $(\chi^h_V)^2 + (\chi^H_V)^2 = 1$ can have SM-like h or H (with $\chi_V = 1$) V=W/Z

Unitarity constraints on parameters of V (D symmetry)

Full scattering matrix macierz 25x25 for scalars (including Goldstone's)



in high energy limit

Block-diagonal form due electric charge and CP conservation

M1: G+H-, G-H+, hA, GA, GH, hH M2: G+G-, H+H-, GG, HH, AA, hh M3: Gh, AH M4: G+G, G+H, G+A, G+h, GH+, HH+, AH+, hH+ M5: G+G+, H+H+ M6: G+H+

Unitarity constraints \rightarrow |eigenvalues|< 8 π

Kanemura et al. 93; 2001 Akeroyd, Arhrib, Naimi, 2000....

Pert. unitarity constraints on lambda's

 $\begin{array}{l} 0 \leqslant \lambda_1 \leqslant 8.38, \\ 0 \leqslant \lambda_2 \leqslant 8.38, \\ -6.05 \leqslant \lambda_3 \leqslant 16.44, \\ -15.98 \leqslant \lambda_4 \leqslant 5.93, \\ -8.34 \leqslant \lambda_5 \leqslant 0. \end{array}$

B. Gorczyca, MSc Thesis, July 2011

(hold for Mixed as well)

and for combinations

Couplings for dark particles in IDM $\lambda_{345} = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5$ $\lambda_{45} = \lambda_4 + \lambda_5$

$$-8.10 \leqslant \lambda_{345} \leqslant 12.38, -7.76 \leqslant \lambda_{345}^{-} \leqslant 16.45, -8.28 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{45} \leqslant 0, -7.97 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{45}^{-} \leqslant 6.08,$$



Condition for the Inert vacuum

IDM:

•
$$\langle \phi_S \rangle = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, \langle \phi_D \rangle = 0$$

- 5 physical scalars: h, H, A, H^{\pm} (h the Higgs boson)
- The Inert vacuum can be realized only if:

$$\begin{split} m_{11}^2 > 0, \quad \lambda_1 > 0, \quad \lambda_3 v^2 \geqslant m_{22}^2, \\ (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5) v^2 \geqslant m_{22}^2, \quad \frac{m_{11}^2}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} > \frac{m_{22}^2}{\sqrt{\lambda_2}} \end{split}$$

For 125 GeV h: $M_h^2 = m_{11}^2 = \lambda_1 v^2$ and $max \lambda_2 value$

upper limit: $m_{22}^{2} < 9.10^{4} \text{ GeV}^{2}$

Testing Inert Doublet Model Ma'2006, Barbieri 2006, Dolle, Su,

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Gorczyca}(\acute{Swieżewska}), \textit{MSc T2011},\\ \textit{Using properties of}\\ \textit{- the SM-like h, M}^2_{h} = m_{11}^{-2} = \lambda_1^{-1} v^2 \textit{Posch, 2011, Arhrib..2012}\\ \lambda_{345} \end{array}$

Using properties of dark scalars

- masses depend on m₂₂²¹
- the dark scalars D interact always in pairs!

$$\begin{split} M_{H+}^2 &= -\frac{m_{22}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}v^2 \\ M_{H}^2 &= -\frac{m_{22}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5}{2}v^2 \\ M_{A}^2 &= -\frac{m_{22}^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - \lambda_5}{2}v^2 \end{split}$$

D couple to V = W/Z (eg. AZH, H⁻W⁺H), not DVV! Quartic selfcouplings D⁴ proportional to λ_2 hopeless to be measured at colliders! (\rightarrow DM D. Sokołowska) Couplings with Higgs: hHH ~ λ_{345} h H+H- ~ λ_2

IDM: LEP II exclusion (masses H vs A) Lundstrom... hep-ph/0810.3924



IDM constraints: LEP + S,T,U + DM relic density

constraints for masses and $D_H D_H h_S$, $D_H D_H h_S h_S$ couplings

Dark scalars:

- low DM mass $M_{D_H} \lesssim 10$ GeV, large mass splittings: $\Delta(D_A, D_H)$ and $\Delta(D^{\pm}, D_H)$
- medium DM mass $M_{D_H} \approx (40 160)$ GeV, large $\Delta(D^{\pm}, D_H)$, small or large $\Delta(D_A, D_H)$
- high DM mass $M_H \approx (500 1000)$ GeV, small $\Delta(D_A, D_H)$ and $\Delta(D^{\pm}, D_H)$

Lopez Honorez et al. '07, Hambye et al. '08,'09, Agrawal et al. '09, Dolle et al. '09, Arina et al. '09, ...

Higgs boson:

- both light and heavy Higgs boson possible in IDM
- LHC 2012 $\Rightarrow M_{h_S} \approx 125 \text{ GeV} \text{SM-like Higgs in IDM}$

D. Sokołowska 2010 using MicroOmega's

Relict density for DM with mass 62,64,...,80 GeV



62 GeV

above 76 GeV asymmetry due to annihilation to gauge bosons

D. Sokołowska, 2013

Relict density for DM with mass 62,64,...,80 GeV



no HA coannihilation $\Delta = [50, 50]$

IDM constraints: Direct detection experiments

dark matter – nucleon stattering \rightarrow DM-quark interactions



detection signals (DAMA, CoGeNT, CRESST-II) point to the light DM but there is no agreement with exclusion limits (XENON100, CDMS-II)

DM - LHC

WIMP search



21

- LHC results represent a large improvement of the limits set at Tevatron
- Limits on scattering σ: ~10⁻⁴⁰-10⁻⁴¹ cm² (SD) and ~10⁻³⁸ cm² (SI) for m_χ<100 GeV
- Not enough sensitivity yet to exclude/confirm CoGeNT/DAMA excess at ~10 GeV in case of D1/D5 models

IDM – scan (B. Świeżewska 2012)

Constraints: M_h=125 GeV (λ_1 =0.25)

pert. vacuum stability, conditions for Inert I1 vacuum: perturbative unitarity condition EWPT Relic density, LEP+LHC

H = dark matter $0 > \lambda_{45} = \lambda_4 + \lambda_5$

 $\begin{array}{rl} M_{h} &= 125\,{\rm GeV},\\ 70\,{\rm GeV} \leqslant M_{H^{\pm}} \leqslant 800\,{\rm GeV}(1400\,{\rm GeV}),\\ 0 < M_{A} &\leqslant 800\,{\rm GeV}(1400\,{\rm GeV}),\\ 5 \leqslant M_{H} &< M_{A}, M_{H^{\pm}},\\ -25\cdot10^{4}\,{\rm GeV}^{2}(-2\cdot10^{6}\,{\rm GeV}^{2}) \leqslant m_{22}^{2} \leqslant \sqrt{\lambda_{2}}M_{h^{V}} \lesssim 9\cdot10^{4}\,{\rm GeV}^{2}.\\ 0 < \lambda_{2} &\leqslant 10.\\ \end{array}$

Inert Doublet Model with Mh=125 GeV

 $m_{22}^2 = 0$

 $M_H \leqslant 602 \,\mathrm{GeV},$ $M_{H^{\pm}} \leqslant 708 \,\mathrm{GeV},$ $M_A \leqslant 708 \,\mathrm{GeV}.$



valid up to $|m_{22}^2| = 10^4 \text{GeV}^2$

EWPT (pale regions)

LHC: loop couplings hgg, hyy (hZy)



for hgg - b and t important

Η for h $\gamma\gamma$ - t and b, W, (H+ in 2HDM) In SM W and t interfer destructively so if coupling of t may change sign an enhancement possible 2HDM (Mixed) Ginzburg, MK, Osland, 2001; Carmi et al.,,, in 2011,12

In IDM only hyp may differ from SM

$$h
ightarrow \gamma \gamma$$

 [J. R. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard and D. V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B 106 (1976) 292, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, M. B. Voloshin and V. I. Zakharov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 30 (1979) 711 [Yad. Fiz. 30, 1368 (1979)], P. Posch, Phys. Lett. B696 (2011) 447, A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, N. Gaur, Phys. Rev. D85 (2012) 095021]

$$R_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\sigma(pp \to h \to \gamma\gamma)^{IDM}}{\sigma(pp \to h \to \gamma\gamma)^{SM}} = \frac{\left(\sigma(gg \to h)\mathrm{Br}(h \to \gamma\gamma)\right)^{IDM}}{\left(\sigma(gg \to h)\mathrm{Br}(h \to \gamma\gamma)\right)^{SM}} = \frac{\mathrm{Br}(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{IDM}}{\mathrm{Br}(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{SM}}$$

- Narrow width approximation
- Largest contribution to the production is from gg fusion

•
$$\sigma(gg \to h)^{SM} = \sigma(gg \to h)^{IDM}$$

Two sources of possible enhancement:

modification of the partial $(h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ or the total decay width $(h \rightarrow X)$

IDM – total width of h Cao, Ma, Rajasekaren' 2007



Br of Higgs boson (125 GeV) HH and AA channels open or closed for positive and negative m_{22}^{2} for positive a

for positive as Arhrib..'12



H(50 GeV),A(60 GeV)H openH(75 GeV),A(>63 GeV)both openH(60 GeV),A(>63 GeV)both closed

Sources of modifications to $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ - charged scalar loop

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(h \to \gamma \gamma)^{IDM} &= \frac{G_F \alpha^2 M_h^3}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi^3} \left| \frac{4}{3} g_t A_{1/2} \left(\frac{4M_t^2}{M_h^2} \right) + g_W A_1 \left(\frac{4M_W^2}{M_h^2} \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{2M_{H^\pm}^2 + m_{22}^2}{2M_{H^\pm}^2} A_0 \left(\frac{4M_{H^\pm}^2}{M_h^2} \right) \right|^2 \end{split}$$

- If $h \to HH$ kinematically closed, $R_{\gamma\gamma} = \Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{IDM} / \Gamma(h \to \gamma\gamma)^{SM}$.
- $g_t, g_W = 1 \Rightarrow R_{\gamma\gamma}$ depends only on two of the parameters $M_{H^{\pm}}, \lambda_3, m_{22}^2 (M_{H^{\pm}}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(-m_{22}^2 + \lambda_3 v^2))$
- $R_{\gamma\gamma} > 1$ can be solved analytically
- -> formula
- enhancement in $h \to \gamma \gamma$ only possible for $m_{22}^2 < -9800 \,\text{GeV}^2$ $(\lambda_3 < 0)$



$R_{\gamma\gamma}$ as a function of λ_3 and λ_2



similar result Arhrib at al

enhancement for negative λ_3



- $R_{\gamma\gamma} \ge 1$ also for big $M_{H^{\pm}}$, e.g. $M_{H^{\pm}} = 1$ TeV
- Substantial enhancement, $R_{\gamma\gamma} \ge 1.3$, only for $M_{H^{\pm}} \le 130 \,\text{GeV}$

920

Arhrib at al



Gamma-gamma enhancement



If moderate excess is seen in both inclusive production and exclusive VBF production, it could be the Higgs boson of the IHDM, 2HDM, or the MSSM. However, if the excess is over 60% it will pose severe challenge to the MSSM.

l agree

Conclusions I

- SM-like scenarios in 2HDM
 - 2HDM (Mixed) where both h or H can be SM-like
 - Inert Doublet Model: h is SM-like and H=DM mass of H+ below 135 GeV if Rγγ >1.3 (H+ has no Yukawa couplings)
 If Rγγ >1 H mass >62.5 GeV and <135 GeV, if Rγγ > 1.3
 - If Ryy >1.3 -1.46 < λ_3 , λ_{345} <-0.24

IDM and evolution of the Universe

- Inert Doublet Model (IDM) provides DM and it is in agreement with present astrophysical and collider data including the 125 GeV Higgs boson
- If today (T=0) Universe in the Inert phase what was in the past ?
- We have studied temperature dependent Z2 sym.
 2HDM potential → evolution of the Inert vacuum and sequences of different vacua in the past (one, two and three phase transitions)
- with leading T2 corrections (only m²_{ii} (T²)) (*PRD 82(2010) Ginzburg, Kanishev, MK, D. Sokołowska*)
- beyond T2 corrections (to find strong enough first-order phase transition needed for baryogenesis) (G. Gil Thesis'2011, G.Gil, P. Chankowski, MK Phys. Lett. B 2012

Evolution of the Universe in 2HDMthrough different vacua in the past

Ginzburg, Ivanov, Kanishev 2009 Ginzburg, Kanishev,MK, Sokołowska PRD 2010, Sokołowska 2011

We consider 2HDM with an explicit D symmetry assuming that today the Inert Doublet Model describes reality. In the simplest approximation only *mass terms* in V vary with temperature like T², while λ 's are fixed

Various evolution from EWs to Inert phase possible in one, two or three steps, with 1st or 2nd type phase transitions...



Termal corrections of parameters

Evolution of the Universe

Scalar, bosonic and fermionic contributions to $\Delta V \rightarrow m_{ii}^2(T)$:

$$m_{11}^2(T) = m_{11}^2 - c_1 T^2$$
, $m_{22}^2(T) = m_{22}^2 - c_2 T^2$

 $c_1 = \frac{3\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3 + \lambda_4}{6} + \frac{3g^2 + {g'}^2}{8} + \frac{g_t^2 + g_b^2}{2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{3\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 + \lambda_4}{6} + \frac{3g^2 + {g'}^2}{8}$

- fermionic contribution in c_1 (Model I)
- $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ from positivity constrains
- c_1 and c_2 positive to restore EW symmetry in the past

For a given T we determine:

- sign of $v_i^2|_{I_1,I_2,M}(T) \to \text{possible existence of a given extremum}$
- values of λ_i (fixed) \rightarrow existence of a local minimum
- value of extremum energy \rightarrow global minimum
- \Rightarrow sequences of possible phase transitions

For u = 0 (neutral extrema) three separate cases of $EWs \rightarrow ... \rightarrow I_1$:

 $R = \lambda_{345} / \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$: R > 1, 1 > R > 0, 0 > R > -1

Phase diagram (μ_1, μ_2)



unique possibility: 1st order phase transition $I_2 \rightarrow I_1$

$$L_{i} = m_{ii}^{2} / \sqrt{\lambda_{i}}$$
$$R = \frac{\lambda_{345}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}}}$$

Stability condition

 $EWs \rightarrow I_1$

 $EWs \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow I_1$

T^2 corrections \rightarrow rays from EWs to the Inert phase

Phase diagrams

0 < R < 1



-1 < R < 0



 $EWs \rightarrow I_1$

Non-restoration of EW symmetry R <0 possible

There is only one evolution with EW restoration in the past

 $c_1 \text{ or } c_2 < 0$

- in one step and with $R_{vv} > 1!$

Sokołowska PhD, Thesis 2012



Sensitivity to HHHH coupling λ_2 medium DM mass - example

Medium DM mass: example

• fixed values of scalars' masses $\rightarrow (\lambda_{345}, \lambda_2)$ phase space:

$$M_{D_H} = 50 \text{ GeV}, \ M_{D_A} = 120 \text{ GeV}, \ M_{D^{\pm}} = 120 \text{ GeV}, \ M_{h_S} = 120 \text{ GeV}$$

• fixed value of λ_{345} :

 $\lambda_{345} = 0.1945$

• rays may differ only by value of λ_2





limits on λ₂ -positivity -Inert vacuum

vertical bounds - WMAP-allowed region

Conclusions II

- Intert Doublet Model in agreement with data Inert phase today - what was in the Past?
- Various evolution scenarios :

$$EWs \xrightarrow{II} \begin{cases} I_1 \\ I_2 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \xrightarrow{II} M & \xrightarrow{II} I_1 \\ \xrightarrow{I} I_1 \end{pmatrix} I_1$$

Can we find clear signals?

- Ch breaking in the past?-excluded if DM neutral
- DM matter may appear later
- Inert phase today and $R_{vv} > 1$ for 125 GeV Higgs EW symmetry breaking in one step

Beyond T2 corrections – strong 1st order phase transition in IDM? EW bariogenesis?

G. Gil MsThesis'2011, G.Gil, P. Chankowski, MK 1207.0084 [hep-ph] PLB 2012

We applied one-loop effective potential at T=0(Coleman-Wienberg term) and temperature dependent effective potential at $T \neq 0$ (with sum of ring diagrams)

$$V_T^{(1L)}(v_1, v_2) = V_{\text{eff}}^{(1L)}(v_1, v_2) + \Delta^{(1L)} V_{T \neq 0}(v_1, v_2).$$

The one-loop effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}(v_1, v_2)$ is given in the Landau gauge by standard formula mass matrices

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{(1L)} = V_{\text{tree}} + \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \sum_{\text{fields}} C_s \left\{ \mathcal{M}_s^4 \left(\ln \frac{\mathcal{M}_s^2}{4\pi\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{2}{d-2} - \gamma_{\text{E}} \right) \right\} + \text{CT},$$

number of states

counter terms \rightarrow

Fixing counterterms

We require that v1=v1(tree) and that h field propagator has a pole for tree-level mass-squared M_h^2

Then we put conditions on

 $λ_{345}$ (hHH), $λ_2$ (HHHH)

On the other hand λ_2 cannot be directly measured in the foreseeable future⁶ so its precise definition at the loop-level is not important. Here for simplicity we choose to subtract the divergences of $V_{\text{eff}}^{(1L)}$ proportional to v_2^4 and $v_1^2 v_2^2$ using the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. This fixes the combinations $\delta \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_2 \delta Z_2$ and $\delta \lambda_{345} + \lambda_{345} (\delta Z_1 + \delta Z_2)$. Once the latter counterterm is fixed the last necessary combination $\delta m_{22}^2 + m_{22}^2 \delta Z_2$ is determined by renormalizing the H^0 propagator on-shell. The counterterms $\delta \lambda_3$ and $\delta \lambda_5$ can be then used to enforce that the tree-level masses M_{A^0} and $M_{H^{\pm}}$ remain unchanged by one-loop corrections (they do not need to be determined explicitly).

One-loop temperature dependent effective potential

$$\Delta^{(1L)} V_{T \neq 0} = \frac{T^4}{2\pi^2} \sum_{\text{fields}} C_s \int_0^\infty dx \, x^2 \ln\left[1 - (-1)^{2s} \exp\left(-\sqrt{x^2 + \mathcal{M}_s^2/T^2}\right)\right].$$

For $T^2 \gg \mathcal{M}_s^2$ the contribution of \mathcal{M}_s^2 to (12) can be expanded:

$$\left(\Delta^{(1L)}V_{T\neq0}\right)_{B} = |C_{s}| \left\{ -\frac{\pi^{2}}{90}T^{4} + \frac{1}{24}T^{2}\mathcal{M}_{s}^{2} - \frac{T}{12\pi}|\mathcal{M}_{s}^{3}| - \frac{\mathcal{M}_{s}^{4}}{64\pi^{2}} \left(\ln\frac{\mathcal{M}_{s}^{2}}{T^{2}} - C_{B}\right) \right\}$$
$$\left(\Delta^{(1L)}V_{T\neq0}\right)_{F} = |C_{s}| \left\{ -\frac{7\pi^{2}}{720}T^{4} + \frac{1}{48}T^{2}\mathcal{M}_{s}^{2} + \frac{\mathcal{M}_{s}^{4}}{64\pi^{2}} \left(\ln\frac{\mathcal{M}_{s}^{2}}{T^{2}} - C_{F}\right) \right\}$$

 $(C_B = 5.40762, C_F = 2.63503)$. In the opposite limit $T^2 \ll \mathcal{M}_s^2$ one has

$$\left(\Delta^{(1L)}V_{T\neq 0}\right)_s = -|C_s| T^4 \left(\frac{|\mathcal{M}_s|}{2\pi T}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{15}{8} \frac{T}{|\mathcal{M}_s|} + \dots\right) \exp\left(-\frac{|\mathcal{M}_s|}{T}\right),$$

both for B and F

Effective T=0 potential



Critical temperature T_{EW} : V at new minimum = V at $v_{1(s)} = v_{2(D)} = 0$

Strength of the phase transition



We are looking for parameter space of IDM which allows for a strong first order phase transition

v(T_{EW})/T_{EW} > 1 being in agreement with collider and astro physical data We focus on medium DM, with M_H « v, heavy degenerated A and H+ and M_h=125 GeV

Phases at T=0



Xenon100 bound



Results for v(T_{EW})/T_{EW}

Mh=125 GeV, MH=65 GeV, λ2=0.2



strong 1st order phase transition if ratio > 1

 $\rightarrow |2 \rightarrow |1|$

Allowed MH+=MA between 275 and 380 GeV (one step)

۸₃₄₅

T_{FW} as a function of λ_{345}



Role of Coleman-Weinberg term



Conclusion III

Strong first order phase transition in IDM possible for realistic mass of Higgs boson (125 GeV) and DM (~65 GeV) for

1/ heavy (degenerate) H+ and A: mass 275-380 GeV 2/ low value of hHH coupling $|\lambda_{345}| < 0.1$ 3/ Coleman-Weinberg term important

Borach, Cline 1204.4722 Chowdhury et al 1110.5334 (DM as a trigger of strong EW PT) (on 2HDM Cline et al, 1107.3559 and Kozhusko..1106.0790)

Electroweak baryogenesis and quantum corrections to the triple Shinya Kanemuraa, Yasuhiro Higgs boson coupling '2004 Okada, Eibun Senahab



 $M^2 \ (= m_3^2 / \sin\beta\cos\beta),$

2HDM – a great laboratory of BSM

In particular

IDM with SM-like Higgs sector and DM At LHC – SM-like signal with possible enhancement in gamma-gamma channel \rightarrow strong constraints on masses of DM and H+, as well as DM couplings

Evolution of Universe in only one step?



reduce $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-$

for signal and background events

D. Borach, J. Cline Inert Doublet DM with Strong EW phase transition 1204.4722[hep-ph]



Dark scalar masses

 $Y = M_{H^+}^2 2/v^2$



here H is the lightest $(\lambda_5 < 0)$ – our DM

M_h vs tan β

For h mass =125 GeV

 $0.18\lesssim ext{tan}\,eta\lesssim 5.59$



mass not Yukawa!