2013/2/15@Toyama

Higgs boson mass in low energy SUSY models with vector-like matters

Norimi Yokozaki (Kavli IPMU)

Endo, Hamaguchi, Iwamoto, N.Y.; 2011, 2012 Nakayama N.Y.; 2011

Sato, Tobioka, N.Y.; 2011

Endo, Hamaguchi, Ishikawa, Iwamoto, N.Y.; 2012

Good things of lowenergy SUSY

- Radiative Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Better fine-tuning (focus point-like behavior can relax it more)
- Muon g-2 deviation (>3 σ) can be explained (Sho's talk)

Good things of low-



[Figures from SUSY primer]

Good things of lowenergy SUSY

- Radiative Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Better fine-tuning (focus point-like behavior can relax it more)
- Muon g-2 deviation (>3 σ) can be explained (Sho's talk)

Good things of low-



[Yanagida, N.Y, 2013]

Good things of lowenergy SUSY

- Radiative Electroweak symmetry breaking
- Better fine-tuning (focus point-like behavior can relax it more)
- Muon g-2 deviation (>3 σ) can be explained (Sho's talk)



 $h \gamma \gamma$ is possibly enhanced

If the SUSY particles are light, **gauge mediation models** are attractive

Gravity mediation with O(1) TeV gravitino

Flavor changing neutral current The cosmological Polonyi problem (spoiling BBN, dilution BAU)

If the SUSY particles are light, **gauge mediation models** are attractive

Gravity mediation with O(1) TeV gravitino

Flavor changing neutral current The cosmological Polonyi problem (spoiling BBN, dilution BAU)

However, the Higgs mass of ~125 GeV is challenging

If the SUSY particles are light, **gauge mediation models** are attractive

Gravity mediation with O(1) TeV gravitino

Flavor changing neutral current The cosmological Polonyi problem (spoiling BBN, dilution BAU)

However, the Higgs mass of ~125 GeV is challenging

Gauge Mediation + **Extra Contribution** to Higgs mass is attractive possibility in Low-energy SUSY

Most famous example is NMSSM NMSSM -> not good in GMSB (Difficult to realize EWSB, Landau pole, Muon g-2 deviation can not be explained)



 $\lambda SH_uH_d + \kappa S^3/3$

with A_λ~0

[Figure from Yanagida, Yonekura, N.Y., 2012]

Most famous example is NMSSM NMSSM -> not good in GMSB (Difficult to realize EWSB, Landau pole, Muon g-2 deviation can not be explained)



 $\lambda SH_u H_d + \kappa S^3/3 + Sq'\bar{q}'$

[Gouvea, Friedland, Murayama, 97']

with $A_{\lambda} \sim 0$

[Figure from Yanagida, Yonekura, N.Y., 2012]

Here we consider another possibility

Add top/stop like particles to raise the Higgs mass with vector-like masses



[Okada, Moroi, 92']

10 + 10* is suitable for raising the Higgs mass

$(5+1)+(5^*+1^*)$

L' Hu N' Hu Hd S

blow-up of Yukawa coupling

scalar masses are light

complete generation $(10+5)+(10^*+5^*)$ Q*' Hu D'

blow-up of gauge coupling (since we need a messenger pair)

Model

$$\begin{vmatrix} 10 = (Q', \bar{U}', \bar{E}') \\ \bar{10} = (\bar{Q}', U', E') \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+Y'Q'H_u\bar{U}'+Y''\bar{Q}'H_dU'+M_{Q'}Q'\bar{Q}'+M_{U'}U'\bar{U}'+M_{E'}E'\bar{E}'.$$

 $W = W_{\rm MSSM}$

In addition to the messenger sector

$$W_{\text{mess}} = (M_D + F_D \theta^2) \Psi_D \Psi_{\bar{D}}$$
$$+ (M_L + F_L \theta^2) \Psi_L \Psi_{\bar{L}}$$

If there is no GUT breaking effect

$$\frac{F_D}{M_D} \simeq \frac{F_L}{M_L} \equiv \Lambda$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 10 = (Q', \bar{U}', \bar{E}') \\ \bar{10} = (\bar{Q}', U', E') \end{vmatrix}$$

$$W = W_{\text{MSSM}} \qquad [10] = \\ + Y'Q'H_u\bar{U}' + Y''\bar{Q}'H_dU' \\ + M_{Q'}Q'\bar{Q}' + M_{U'}U'\bar{U}' + M_{E'}E'\bar{E}'.$$

$$\Delta m_h^2 \sim \frac{3Y'^4 v^4}{4\pi^2} \ln \frac{m_S^2}{m_F^2}$$



$$\begin{split} W &= W_{\rm MSSM} \\ &+ Y'Q'H_u\bar{U}' + Y''\bar{Q}'H_dU' \\ &+ M_{Q'}Q'\bar{Q}' + M_{U'}U'\bar{U}' + M_{E'}E'\bar{E}' \\ &- \Delta m_h^2 \sim \frac{3Y'^4v^4}{4\pi^2}\ln\frac{m_S^2}{m_F^2} \\ \end{split} \qquad \begin{array}{l} 10 &= (Q',\bar{U}',\bar{E}') \\ 1\bar{0} &= (\bar{Q}',U',E') \\ \hline 10 &=$$

larger m_s/m_F leads to larger Higgs mass



$$W = W_{\text{MSSM}}$$

$$= W_{\text{MSSM}}$$

$$= W_{\text{MSSM}}$$

$$= W_{\text{MSSM}}$$

$$= W_{W} (I = (Q', \bar{U}', \bar{E}'))$$

$$= (\bar{Q}', U', \bar{E}')$$

$$= (\bar{Q}', \bar{Q}', \bar{Q}', \bar{E}')$$

$$= (\bar{Q}', \bar{Q}', \bar{E}')$$

$$= (\bar{Q}',$$

Г

$$\begin{vmatrix} 10 = (Q', \bar{U}', \bar{E}') \\ \bar{10} = (\bar{Q}', U', E') \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ Y'Q'H_u\bar{U}' + Y''\bar{Q}'H_dU' + M_{Q'}Q'\bar{Q}' + M_{U'}U'\bar{U}' + M_{E'}E'\bar{E}'.$$

 $W = W_{\text{MSSM}}$

Y' and corresponding A parameter (and At) have quasi IR fixed point



 $10 = (Q', \bar{U}', \bar{E}')$ $10 = (\bar{Q}', U', E')$

 $+Y'Q'H_{u}\bar{U}' + Y''\bar{Q}'H_{d}U'$ $+ M_{Q'}Q'\bar{Q}' + M_{U'}U'\bar{U}' + M_{E'}E'\bar{E}'.$

this coupling should be small

 $W = W_{\text{MSSM}}$



reducing the Higgs mass (similar to the sbottom loops with large LR mixing)

The correct size of μ -term, M_Q[,] and M_U[,] can be generated by **PQ-symmetry** breaking

$$W_{\text{ext}} = \lambda_1 \frac{\phi^2}{M_P} H_u H_d + \frac{\phi^2}{M_P} (\lambda_2 Q' \bar{Q}' + \lambda_3 \bar{U}' U' + \lambda_4 \bar{E}' E')$$

PQ breaking scale: $\langle \phi \rangle \sim 10^{10} \text{GeV} - 10^{12} \text{GeV}$

Reduced Plank mass: $M_P \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{18} \text{GeV}$

$$\mu \sim M_Q \sim M_U \sim M_E$$
$$\sim \frac{\langle \phi \rangle^2}{M_P} \sim 100 - 1000 \,\text{GeV}$$

[Nakayama, N.Y, 2012]

The unwanted interaction is automatically suppressed by **PQ-symmetry**

Requiring that $W = \lambda_1 \frac{\phi^2}{M_P} H_u H_d + \frac{\phi^2}{M_P} (\lambda_2 Q' \bar{Q}' + \lambda_3 \bar{U}' U' + \lambda_4 \bar{E}' E')$ $+ W_{\text{MSSM},\mu=0} + Y' Q' H_u \bar{U}'$

$$Y''\bar{Q}'H_dU' \longrightarrow \Delta m_{h^0}^2 \simeq -\frac{3v^2}{4\pi^2}Y''^4 \sin^4\beta \frac{\mu^4}{12M_S^4},$$

Small Y" suppresses contributions to hVV couplings The Higgs production is almost unchanged The unwanted interaction is automatically suppressed by **PQ-symmetry**



Small Y" suppresses contributions to hVV couplings The Higgs production is almost unchanged Result



[Endo, Hamaguchi, Ishikawa, Iwamoto, N.Y., 2012]

Oh... it may not be attractive enough

Is it impossible to explain muon g-2?

Oh... it may not be attractive enough

Is it impossible to explain muon g-2?

Yes, it is possible

The SUSY breaking (invariant) masses of messengers can be split by GUT breaking effects (**GUT is broken!**)

$$W_{\text{mess}} = (M_D + F_D \theta^2) \Psi_D \Psi_{\bar{D}}$$
$$+ (M_L + F_L \theta^2) \Psi_L \Psi_{\bar{L}}$$
$$\Lambda_D = \frac{F_D}{M_D} \qquad \Lambda_L = \frac{F_L}{M_L}$$

 $\Lambda_D = \Lambda_L$ is not always required We can take $\Lambda_L < \Lambda_D$

$$M_1 \simeq \frac{g_1^2}{16\pi^2} N_5 \left(\frac{2}{5}\Lambda_D + \frac{3}{5}\Lambda_L\right), \quad M_2 \simeq \frac{g_2^2}{16\pi^2} N_5(\Lambda_L), \quad M_3 \simeq \frac{g_3^2}{16\pi^2} N_5(\Lambda_D),$$

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \overbrace{O}^{OO}_{OO} & m_{\tilde{Q}}^2 &\simeq & N_5 \frac{2}{(16\pi^2)^2} \left[\frac{4}{3} g_3^4 \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{4} g_2^4 \Lambda_L^2 + \frac{3}{5} g_1^4 \left(\frac{2}{5} \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{5} \Lambda_L^2 \right) \frac{1}{6^2} \right], \\ & m_{\tilde{U}}^2 &\simeq & N_5 \frac{2}{(16\pi^2)^2} \left[\frac{4}{3} g_3^4 \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{5} g_1^4 \left(\frac{2}{5} \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{5} \Lambda_L^2 \right) \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 \right], \\ & m_{\tilde{D}}^2 &\simeq & N_5 \frac{2}{(16\pi^2)^2} \left[\frac{4}{3} g_3^4 \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{5} g_1^4 \left(\frac{2}{5} \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{5} \Lambda_L^2 \right) \frac{1}{3^2} \right], \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{cccc} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{L} \end{array} \right] & \sim & N_5 \frac{2}{(16\pi^2)^2} \left[\frac{3}{4} g_2^4 \Lambda_L^2 + \frac{3}{5} g_1^4 \left(\frac{2}{5} \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{5} \Lambda_L^2 \right) \frac{1}{2^2} \right], \\ m_{\tilde{E}}^2 & \simeq & N_5 \frac{2}{(16\pi^2)^2} \left[\frac{3}{5} g_1^4 \left(\frac{2}{5} \Lambda_D^2 + \frac{3}{5} \Lambda_L^2 \right) \right], \\ m_{H_u}^2 & = & m_{H_d}^2 = m_{\tilde{L}}^2. \end{array} \right]$

$$M_1 \simeq \frac{g_1^2}{16\pi^2} N_5 \left(\frac{2}{5}\Lambda_D + \frac{3}{5}\Lambda_L\right), \quad M_2 \simeq \frac{g_2^2}{16\pi^2} N_5(\Lambda_L), \quad M_3 \simeq \frac{g_3^2}{16\pi^2} N_5(\Lambda_D),$$

Muon g-2 is easier to be explained

Muon g-2 is easier to be explained Stau-loop enhances H $\gamma \gamma$ signal with large LR mixing



[Carena, Gori, Shah, Wagner, 2012]

Result 2



Summary

- SUSY SM + vector-like matters in gauge mediation is attractive
- Higgs boson mass, muon g-2 and enhanced di-photon rates (optional) are all explained
- Consistent with perturbative GUT
- Not very large fine-tuning is required compared to high scale SUSY breaking scenarios

Thank you